

# On positive (non-negative) Tits form and (min, max)-equivalence of posets

M. V. Styopochkina

This is joint work with Professor V. M. Bondarenko.

The quadratic Tits form, introduced by P. Gabriel for quivers, Yu. A. Drozd for posets, and A. V. Roiter for a wide class of matrix problems, plays an important role in representation theory. The report is devoted to study the structure of posets with positive (non-negative) Tits form; here we consider only finite posets.

Let  $S$  be a poset. For  $x \in S$ , we denote by  $N(x)$  the set of all elements of  $S$  incomparable to  $x$ ; for subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ , we write  $A < B$  if  $a < b$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Further, for a minimal (resp. maximal) element  $x$  of  $S$ , we denote by  $S_x^\uparrow$  (resp.  $S_x^\downarrow$ ) the disjoint union of the subsets  $\{x\}$  and  $S \setminus \{x\}$  with the smallest order relation which contains that given on  $S \setminus \{x\}$ , and such that  $x > N(x)$  (resp.  $x < N(x)$ ). Posets  $S$  and  $T$  is called (min, max)-equivalent, if there are posets  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  with  $p \geq 0$  such that, if one sets  $S = X_0$  and  $T = X_{p+1}$ , then for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ , either  $X_{i+1} = (X_i)_x^\downarrow$ , with some maximal  $x \in X_i$ , or  $X_{i+1} = (X_i)_y^\uparrow$ , with some minimal  $y \in X_i$  (see [1]); in this case we write  $S \cong_{(\min, \max)} T$ .

Let  $S$  be a poset (without the element 0) and let  $\mathbb{Z}^{S \cup 0} = \{z = (z_i) \mid z_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in S \cup 0\}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the integer numbers. The Tits quadratic form of  $S$  is by definition the form  $q_S : \mathbb{Z}^{S \cup 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by the equality

$$q_S(z) = z_0^2 + \sum_{i \in S} z_i^2 + \sum_{i < j, i, j \in S} z_i z_j - z_0 \sum_{i \in S} z_i.$$

In [1] it is proved that the Tits forms of (min, max)-equivalent posets are equivalent.

The Tits form, as an arbitrary one with coefficient in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , is called *weakly positive* (resp. *weakly nonnegative*) if it takes a positive (resp. nonnegative) value on every nonzero vector  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^{S \cup 0}$  with nonnegative coordinates.

A poset  $S$  is said to be *P-critical* (resp. *WP-critical*) if the Tits form of any proper subset of  $S$  is positive (resp. weakly positive), but that of  $S$  is not.

**Theorem 1.** *A poset  $S$  is P-critical if and only if it is (min, max)-equivalent to a WP-critical poset.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $S$  be a poset. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The Tits form of  $S$  is positive.*
- (2) *The Tits form of any poset  $T \cong_{(\min, \max)} S$  is weakly positive.*

Similar results hold for posets with nonnegative and weakly nonnegative Tits forms.

## References

- [1] V. M. Bondarenko, *On (min, max)-equivalence of posets and applications to the Tits forms*, Bulletin of the University of Kiev (Series: Physics & Mathematics), 2005, N1, P. 11–13.

Kyiv Taras Shevchenko University,  
64 Volodymyrs'ka, Kyiv, 01033, Ukraine